

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/020,414 | DEROU-MADELINE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Inder P. Mehra | 2666 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(3) ____.

(2) Khaled Shami, Attorney, Regd. No. 38,745.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006

Time: 12 noon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed antecedent basis of claims 1-8, and agreement was reached as set forth in office action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)